

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,713	06/26/2003	Naysen Jesse Robertson	200208055-1	5776
7590 05/05/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BARAN, MARY C	
Intellectual Property Adminsitration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			14.0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,713	ROBERTSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit ·	
	Mary Kate B. Baran	2857	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Apply be timely filed Output THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 August 2005.		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.	,		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/a		·	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s)/Mail Date	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/04;2/28/05.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1 "Background" line 6, "development, manufacturing and/or" should be – development and/or manufacturing –.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - (a) Claim 26 page 32 line 1, "executing a diagnostics" should be executing diagnostics –.
 - (b) Claim 26 page 32 line 1, "obtain response" should be obtain a response –.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6,11-20, 25, 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vogley (U.S. Patent No. 6,617,872).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,713

Art Unit: 2857

Referring to claims 1, 20 and 25, Vogley teaches an electronic system including a plurality of components (see Vogley, column 2 line 57 – column 3 line 6), a system for frequency margin testing one or more components (see Vogley, column 4 lines 1-2), comprising: a controller internal to said electronic system (see Vogley, column 3 lines 36-39); and a digital frequency synthesizer (see Vogley, column 4 lines 39-43) in communication with said controller and with one or more of said components (see Vogley, column 4 lines 39-51), said frequency synthesizer generating one or more test frequencies for application to one or more test values in response to commands from said controller (see Vogley, column 4 lines 39-51 and column 6 lines 15-20).

Referring to claim 2, Vogley teaches a diagnostics software executing to collect data regarding a response of selected components of said system to said test frequencies (see Vogley, column 3 lines 17-32).

Referring to claim 3, Vogley teaches that said controller executes said diagnostics software (see Vogley, column 3 lines 17-32).

Referring to claims 4 and 5, Vogley teaches a hardware monitor in communication with said controller and said frequency synthesizer to measure values of said one or more test frequencies and to transmit said measured values to said controller (see Vogley, column 4 lines 39-51 and column 5 lines 1-19) and to receive

Application/Control Number: 10/606,713

Art Unit: 2857

data regarding response of said components to said one or more test frequencies (see Vogley, column 4 lines 39-51 and column 5 lines 1-19).

Referring to claim 6, Vogley teaches that said controller transmits command signals to said frequency synthesizer to cause the synthesizer to generate said one or more test frequencies (see Vogley, column 5 lines 1-19 and column 6 lines 15-20).

Referring to claim 11, Vogley teaches that said frequency synthesizer receives an input reference clock signal and, in response to a command signal from said controller, generates an output clock signal as a multiple of said input clock signal (see Vogley, column 3 lines 7-16).

Referring to claim 12, Vogley teaches that said frequency synthesizer applies said output clock signal as a test frequency to one or more components for frequency margin testing thereof (see Vogley, column 3 lines 7-16).

Referring to claims 13 and 29, Vogley teaches that said frequency synthesizer generates each one of a plurality of test frequencies based on a pattern of input bits received from the controller (see Vogley, column 6 lines 15-37).

Referring to claim 14, Vogley teaches that said controller initiates margin testing in response to commands from an external system (see Vogley, Figure 1 and column 3 lines 17-20).

Referring to claim 15, Vogley teaches that said external system comprises: a console in communication with said controller via a serial bus (see Vogley, column 3 lines 7-16).

Referring to claims 16 and 17, Vogley teaches that external system comprises: a remote computer in communication with said controller, said remote computer communicates with said controller via a network-based connection (see Vogley, column 4 lines 44-67).

Referring to claim 18, Vogley teaches that sad external system includes a scripting entity for generating commands for transmission to said controller (see Vogley, column 3 lines 34-43).

Referring to claim 19, Vogley teaches that said one or more components receive nominal clock frequencies in the absence of said test frequencies (see Vogley, column 6 lines 48-61).

Referring to claim 26, Vogley teaches executing diagnostics software to obtain a response of the system to each of said test frequencies (see Vogley, column 3 lines 17-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10, 21-24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogley (U.S. Patent No. 6,617,872) in view of Hawkins et al. (U.S. PG-Pub. No. US2003/0130969) (hereinafter Hawkins).

Referring to claims 7-10, 21-24, 27 and 28, Vogley teaches all the features of the claimed invention except that said controller is a Baseboard Management Controller (BMC); that the BMC implements Intelligent Platform Management Interface (IPMI) protocol; that the communication bus is a I²C-based bus; that said I²C-based bus is an IPMB bus; and that said computer system is a computer server.

Hawkins teaches that said controller is a Baseboard Management Controller (BMC) (see Hawkins, page 2 [0015]-[0017]); that the BMC implements Intelligent Platform Management Interface (IPMI) protocol (see Hawkins, pages 1-2 [0014]); that the communication bus is a I²C-based bus (see Hawkins, page 1 [0006]); that said I²C-

based bus is an IPMB bus (see Hawkins, page 1 [0013]); and that said computer system is a computer server (see Hawkins, page 1 [0004]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Vogley to include the teachings of Hawkins because including a Baseboard Management Controller (BMC), implementing an Intelligent Platform Management Interface (IPMI) protocol, including an I²C-based bus, wherein said I²C-based bus is an IPMB bus, and that said computer system is a computer server would have allowed the skilled artisan to provide a star intelligent platform management bus topology.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - (a) Monfared et al. teach frequency margin testing of bladed servers.
 - (b) Heuer teaches a margin test method and apparatus for integrated services digital networks.
 - (c) Camporese et al. teach a programmable clock tuning system and method.
 - (d) Ooishi et al. teach a synchronous semiconductor integrated circuit device capable of test time reduction.
 - (e) Dolby teaches an apparatus and method for calibrating recording and transmission systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,713

Art Unit: 2857

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Kate B. Baran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

30 April 2006

MARC S. HOFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 8